CUTANEOUS TUBERCULOSIS- A RARE OCCURRENCE IN A THREE YEAR OLD
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PRESENTATION OF CASE

A three-year-old accompanied by his parents came to the Dermatology OPD with presenting complaints of an asymptomatic elevated lesion progressing in size over the medial aspect of right foot adjoining the sole for duration of one year. No history of trauma to the foot. No history of pain or discharge from the lesion. No history of preceding systemic symptoms prior to the onset of lesion. No history of systemic illness or previous exposure to Tuberculosis. No history of trauma to the foot or discharge from the lesion. No history of preceding systemic symptoms prior to the onset of lesion. No history of systemic illness or previous exposure to Tuberculosis. No history of Tuberculosis in the family. Parents give history of child being bare foot while playing outside. Immunisation history is up-to-date. No recent history of upper respiratory tract infection or treatment for the cutaneous lesions. On examination of the patient with the parent's consent patient was conscious, oriented and afebrile. No pallor, icterus, lymphadenopathy or oedema. On local examination of the skin, a single hyperkeratotic irregular shaped scaly plaque measuring 5 x 3 cm was present over the right foot adjoining the sole. Lesion shows healing at one end and progression over other areas. No other skin lesions elsewhere.

Before Treatment

Figure A. 5 x 3 cm Hyperkeratotic Irregular Shaped Scaly Plaque

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of cutaneous TB is based on the characteristic clinical morphology of the lesions as well as laboratory tests. Differential diagnosis of lupus vulgaris, mosaic wart, tuberculosis verruca cutis and hyperkeratotic eczema was postulated for our case. Common clinical differential diagnoses of skin TB include cutaneous leishmaniasis, leprosy, atypical mycobacterial infections, fungal infections like chromomycosis and sporotrichosis and sarcoidosis. Many of these conditions also show granulomas on histology and thus definitive diagnosis relies on the direct demonstration of tubercle AFB on stained smears or biopsies, isolation by culture or detection by PCR and related molecular techniques.

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS

Investigations such as complete blood count (CBC), liver function test, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), Mantoux test, x-ray of chest, ultrasound of the abdomen and biopsy of the lesion were done. ESR and Mantoux were elevated. The Mantoux test was performed using 1 tuberculin unit (0.02 mg of purified protein derivative) and read at 72 hours. Induration of more than 10 mm was suggestive of infection with mycobacterium tuberculosis.

The Mantoux also known as tuberculin test is a screening test to detect the presence or absence of tubercular infection. It is performed by injecting 0.1 mL (5 TU) of the purified protein derivative (PPD) on the volar surface of the forearm using a 27-gauge needle and measuring the induration after 48 - 72 h. Induration of 10 mm or more is considered significant, but indicates infection and not necessarily the disease. False-positive results may occur due to exposure to environmental mycobacteria as well as within 1 year of BCG
vaccination. False-negative reactions may also be seen in patients with disseminated infection like miliary TB or in immunosuppressed states like severe malnutrition in children or HIV infection. Pandhi et al. reported 66 of 68 children (97.1%) with positive Mantoux test with induration ranging from 10 to 32 mm. Kumar et al observed that of their children with cutaneous TB, 91.8% patients with localised disease had positive Mantoux test as against only 50% of the cases with disseminated disease. Severe reactions resulting in vesiculation or ulceration have also been observed in children. Tuberculin test is more commonly positive in tuberculosis, as reported in all cases of LS by Singal et al. The role of BCG as a preventive measure against cutaneous TB is difficult to define. Protective efficacy of BCG vaccine in TB in various clinical trials has ranged from 0% to 80%. In a meta-analysis, a high rate of 65% - 80% protection against tubercular meningitis and miliary disease was reported. Zodpey et al demonstrated BCG vaccination to be moderately effective in adults against skin TB with an efficacy of 60.9%. Kumar et al reported that BCG-vaccinated children did not develop disseminated disease. However, others found no significant differences between the vaccinated and the unvaccinated groups. Protective efficacy of BCG has been reported to decrease with time interval since vaccination.

PATHOLOGICAL DISCUSSION

Skin biopsy was done from the active border of the lesion, which showed markedly hyperkeratotic, hyperplastic and acanthotic squamous epithelium. The upper dermis was diffusely infiltrated by lymphocytes and plasmocytes amidst, which are seen in a few scattered granulomas formed of epithelial cells. Some of the granulomas contained Langhans giant cells and foreign body giant cells. The features were consistent with Tuberculosis verrucosa cutis.

The hallmark of cutaneous TB histology is the presence of characteristic tubercular granulomas with epithelioid cells, Langhans giant cells and lymphocytes. However, characteristics such as the distribution of granulomas in the dermis, nature of cellular infiltrate, presence of necrosis and certain specific epidermal changes aid in classifying and diagnosing the variants of skin TB.
DISCUSSION OF MANAGEMENT

In view of the biopsy report, after obtaining Pulmonologist’s and Ophthalmologist’s opinion the patient was initiated on Category I ATT paediatric kit which consists of Tab. Isoniazid 10 mg/kg, Tab. Rifampicin 15 mg/kg, Tab. Pyrazinamide 35 mg/kg and Tab. Ethambutol 20 mg/kg per day for two months intensive phase and advised to continue Tab. Isoniazid and Tab. Rifampicin for four months as a continuation phase.

Upon followup of the patient after two months the cutaneous lesions showed improvement with no new lesions; hence, the family was advised to continue ATT for the child and review on monthly basis.

Cutaneous TB is treated as per the recommendations of therapy for extrapulmonary TB. Apart from the investigations to establish the diagnosis of cutaneous TB, HIV testing should be carried out in all patients with confirmed or suspected TB, because their HIV status makes a difference to their antitubercular treatment.

As per the latest recommendations (2009) of the World Health Organisation (WHO), cutaneous TB in HIV-negative individuals (adults as well as children) should be treated by directly observed treatment short course (DOTS) chemotherapy consisting of four drugs- isoniazid (H), rifampicin (R), pyrazinamide (Z) and ethambutol (E) given for 2 months (intensive phase) followed by isoniazid and rifampicin given for the next 4 months (continuation phase).[9] Fixed-dose combinations (FDCs) should preferably be used. The drugs may be administered daily or three times weekly. The WHO recommends that daily dosing throughout the duration of therapy (2 HRZE/4 HR) is optimal for all newly diagnosed patients with TB. Alternatively, daily intensive phase followed by three times weekly continuation phase [2 HRZE/4 (HR) 3] or three-times weekly dosing throughout therapy [2 (HRZE) 3/4 (HR) 3] may also be used provided that every dose is directly observed. TB patients with known positive HIV status or living in an HIV-prevalent setting should receive daily doses of antitubercular drugs, at least during the intensive phase.[8] For the continuation phase, the optimal dosing frequency for such patients is also daily, although the three-times weekly dosing is an acceptable alternative.

In view of the growing evidence that the use of ethambutol is safe in young children, the WHO recommends that similar to adults this drug should be used in all paediatric cases irrespective of the age.[6] In a case of cutaneous TB where some systemic focus is detected, the corresponding disease categorisation and recommendations should guide the choice of drugs and duration of therapy.

Adverse events caused by anti-TB drugs are much less common in children than in adults.[9] The most important adverse event is the development of hepatotoxicity, which can be caused by isoniazid, rifampicin or pyrazinamide.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

Based on the clinical presentation, investigations and histopathological report a final diagnosis of Cutaneous Tuberculosis, Tuberculosis Verrucosa Cutis variant was confirmed and patient responded to the treatment.

Cutaneous tuberculosis (CTB) is the result of a chronic infection by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. ovis and occasionally by the Calmette-Guerin bacillus. The clinical manifestations are variable and depend on the interaction of several factors including the site of infection and the host’s immunity.[11] The main aetiological agent of Cutaneous tuberculosis is Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mt) that belongs to the class Schizomyctetes, order Actinomyctetales, family Mycobacteriaceae and genus Mycobacterium. Occasionally, it is also caused by M. bovis or BCG vaccine (an attenuated strain of M. bovis).

Mycobacterium tuberculosis is a straight or slightly bent (rod-shaped), non-motile, non-sporulated, unencapsulated bacillus measuring from 1 to 10 μm long by 0.2 to 0.6 μm wide. Its most important feature is that it becomes stained in red by fuchsin and does not discoulour under the actions of alcohol and acid (acid-fast bacillus). Its cellular wall has a high lipid content which grants resistance against the action of chemical agents, though it is susceptible to the action of physical agents (heat and ultraviolet radiation).[12]

Cutaneous tuberculosis can be acquired from haematogenous or lymphatic dissemination of a pulmonary focus or by direct inoculation. The classification of cutaneous TB is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Classification and Route of Infection in Cutaneous Tuberculosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Route of infection</th>
<th>Clinical type</th>
<th>Bacteriology</th>
<th>Course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis cutaneous (tuberculous source)</td>
<td>Tuberculosis cutaneum cutis</td>
<td>Tuberculosis verrucosa cutis</td>
<td>Lepros vulgaris (genus Mycobacterium)</td>
<td>Non specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis (congenital source)</td>
<td>Contiguous spread</td>
<td>Contiguous spread</td>
<td>Tuberculosis verrucosa cutis</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto-inoculation</td>
<td>Scrofulodesis</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemangioangios tuberculosis</td>
<td>Acute, subacute tuberculosis</td>
<td>Tuberculosis (cutaneous)</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus megaterium (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus megaterium</td>
<td>Bacillus megaterium</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus calmette-guerin (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus calmette-guerin</td>
<td>Bacillus calmette-guerin</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus subtilis (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus (non-cutaneous)</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>Locum vulgaris</td>
<td>TB specific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Immunopathogenesis**

The immune response in tuberculosis occurs basically via Th1 pathway with little or no involvement of Th2 pathway. After the mycobacteria are inhaled alveolar macrophages are activated, the infectious agents are internalised and the bactericidal apparatus such as the generation of intermediate nitrogen compounds is triggered in an attempt to eliminate the bacilli at that point.[13,2]
If the mycobacteria survive, a second stage begins in which they divide within the macrophages. The latter are no longer able to eliminate the infectious agents alone, so they induce the production of cytokines such as IL-6, IL-12, IL-1α and IL-1β, resulting in the recruitment of monocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils and dendritic cells. CD4+, CD8+ and NK-cell lymphocytes are stimulated by interleukins (IL-12 and IL-18) produced by dendritic cells to release IFN-γ in order to stimulate the production of RIN (Reactive Nitrogen Intermediates), ROI (Reactive Oxygen Intermediates) and TNF-α.[1]

**Tuberculosis Verrucosa Cutis**

This form of the disease, also known as warty TB, occurs due to exogenous inoculation of tubercle bacilli in a previously sensitised individual with good immunity. Clinically, it presents as verrucous papules and plaques with the surface showing fissures or clefts that may extrude pus and often perilisinal erythema. It may be difficult to differentiate it from hypertrophic LV as well as verruca vulgaris or common warts.[14]

Tuberculosis verrucosa cutis (TBVC) was once seen in 65.5% of the childhood cases from Hong Kong, it is an uncommon form of the disease in Indian children. Aarya et al have reported TBVC in 15% of their patients (3/20), most of the other series from India have reported it to contribute to <4.5% of all cases of paediatric skin TB. Exposed body parts, in particular the lower limbs, are the most common site for warty TB in children, possibly due to inoculation by unnoticed trauma. In contrast to SFD and LV, lymphadenopathy is not seen.[14,15]

Histology shows hypertrophic changes like pseudoepitheliomatous hyperplasia, the presence of acute infiltrate in the upper dermis and characteristic tuberculoid granulomas in the mid dermis. AFB are rarely demonstrated.

In conclusion, this highlights the importance of keeping TB as an important differential as misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis of this entity can lead to prolonged morbidity. This case is presented for its rarity of cutaneous tuberculosis in a child below five years of age and that previous vaccination with BCG does not assure a protective role.
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