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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

An adverse drug reaction (ADR) is defined as any noxious, unintended and undesired effect of a drug, which occurs at doses used in humans for diagnosis, prophylaxis or therapy.¹ Cutaneous adverse drug reactions are one of the most common types of ADRs. The incidence of cutaneous adverse drug reactions varies from 1 – 3% in developed countries to 2 - 5% in developing countries.²

Aims and Objectives: To determine the prevalence of cutaneous adverse drug reactions in our hospital and to identify the clinical patterns of the drug eruptions and the common drugs implicated among our patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was done over a period of two years. Hundred patients presenting with cutaneous drug reactions were registered and analysed. The demographic details were recorded, and a thorough clinical examination was done.

RESULTS

Out of the hundred patients enrolled, 45 were males and 48 were females. There were 2 male children and 5 female children. Most of the patients were in the age group of 21 - 30 and 31 - 40 years, 21 each. Antibiotics were the commonest (30 patients) group of drugs implicated followed by analgesics (29 patients). Fixed drug eruptions were the commonest reaction pattern noticed (32 patients) followed by urticaria and angioedema (25 patients). Females were more commonly affected than males.

CONCLUSION

The clinical pattern of the cutaneous adverse drug reactions is varied in this locality, the knowledge of which is essential for the clinicians.
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1. It is a prospective observational study, conducted for two years. Hundred patients presenting with drug reactions in our dermatology outpatient were registered and evaluated. A thorough history regarding the evolution of lesions, drug intake, the indication for which it was taken and improvement on cessation of the drug intake were taken. A general physical examination was also done. The various drugs implicated, and the types of clinical reactions were categorised. The results were tabulated and analysed.

RESULTS

The total number of patients with adverse drug reaction was 100, out of which 45 were males and 48 were females with 2 male children and 5 female children respectively. The youngest patient was a 4-year-old girl, who developed a morbilliform rash following cotrimoxazole intake for respiratory infection. The oldest patient was an 83-year-old male, who developed FDE following intake of unknown drug for urinary tract infection. Most of the patients were recorded
in the age group of 21 - 30 and 31 - 40, which was 21 each (Table 1).

Antibiotics were the commonest group of drugs implicated in the drug reactions (30 patients) followed by Analgesics (29), Anti-epileptics (6), miscellaneous drugs (4) and native medicines (3). 28 patients were not aware of the offending drug. Cotrimoxazole was the commonest antibiotic implicated in drug reaction.

FDE was the commonest reaction pattern noticed (32 patients) followed by urticaria and angioedema (25), maculopapular rash (15), SJS (9), TEN (7), Bullous eruptions (4), Pruritus (3), EMF (3), lichenoid dermatitis (1) and PR (1). FDE was the most commonly induced by cotrimoxazole.

Pain was the most common indication for usage of the offending drug (37%). Respiratory tract infection (18%) was the next common, closely followed by fever (16%). Diarrhoea was the indication in 11% of the patients. Dermatological causes were recorded in 5% and another 5% patients gave miscellaneous indications for the use of the incriminated drug.

**DISCUSSION**

This study was conducted in 100 patients who attended the dermatology department during the study period. Of the 100 patients, females (n=48) marginally outnumbered the males (n=45) as in a study conducted by Ruchika et al (2011) (n=47), but unlike similar studies conducted by Saritha et al (2015) who observed a male preponderance. Fixed drug eruptions was the commonest reaction pattern noticed (n=32) followed by urticaria and angioedema (n=25). This is in accordance with similar studies conducted by Rohini Sharma et al (2015) who also found fixed drug eruption to be the most common morphological pattern. But this is against a study by Punit Kumar Singh et al (2015) who observed that morbilliform rash to be the most common pattern. Antibiotics were the most commonly incriminated drugs followed by analgesics, which is similar to studies conducted by Ghosh et al (2006) and Shamna et al (2014).
But similar studies done by Mithali Dua et al and Sudha Rani et al showed that anti-epileptics were the most common causative drugs. However, Faiza et al showed NSAIDs to be the commonly incriminated drugs. Many of the patients were unable to name the exact drug, but pain was the most common indication (n=37), for which the causative drug was used followed by respiratory infection (n=18).

**CONCLUSION**

Females were more commonly affected. The common age group involved was 21-40 yrs. Antibiotics were the common offending drugs, in which cotrimoxazole was the frequently implicated agent. The commonest clinical presentation was FDE. The clinical pattern of the cutaneous adverse drug reactions is varied in this locality, the knowledge of which is essential for the clinicians.
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