UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT FOREIGN BODY IN CHILDREN
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE
Evaluation of foreign body in upper GI tract in children.

STUDY DESIGN
Retrospective case study.

PLACE AND DURATION
Mata Gujri Medical College, Kishanganj, Bihar, India, from January 2005 to January 2016.

METHODOLOGY
Between Jan 2005 to Jan 2016, the record of all children of age group 5-16 were analysed at Mata Gujri Medical College, Kishanganj, Bihar, with a final diagnosis of foreign body in upper GI tract. Data were analysed with respect to demographic data, presenting symptoms, investigations, management and outcome. Age below 5 yrs. and 16 years were excluded from the study.

RESULT
Total seventy patients were identified (38 boys and 32 girls). Age ranges from 5 yrs. to 16 yrs. Fifty three patients (75.7%) presented within 24 hrs. Thirteen patients (18.6%) had underlying predisposing factors. The most common FB found in 30 patients (42.8%) was coin. The most common symptoms were drooling of saliva in 42 patients (60%) followed by vomiting (51.4%) in 36 patients. Followup ranges from one week to six months and all were recovered without any sequelae.
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INTRODUCTION
Foreign body ingestion is a common problem all over the world with 80% of the case reported in children. Most swallowed foreign bodies pass harmlessly through the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Foreign bodies that damage the GI tract become lodged or have associated toxicity must be identified and removed. Children with pre-existing GI abnormalities (e.g., tracheoesophageal fistula, stenosing lesions, previous GI surgery) are at an increased risk for complications. Foreign bodies in the air and food passages are the sixth most common cause of accidental death in the United States. Diagnosis of foreign body ingestion is difficult specially when there is no witness of ingestion of FB (2, 3). Various type of foreign body was documented depending upon the country, culture, medical records, etc. In paediatric population, toddlers younger than 5 years are most commonly affected because of their increased mobility and natural propensity for experimentation. Although, children younger than 6 months are rarely able to get a foreign object into the oropharynx. Infants can ingest foreign bodies with the assistance of a sibling. Although, any child can swallow a foreign body and most incidents result in minor annoyance; however, some can become a challenging problem and have serious life-threatening complications. Flexible Upper GI scope is recommended in all cases as gold standard of the treatment. It is safe, effective and well-demonstrated. However, it is costlier, requires the presence of a skilled endoscopist, necessitates sedation or general anaesthesia may require the subsequent observation or hospitalisation. Generally, Rat tooth forceps, snare, balloon, etc. are used for removal of FB. This study was conducted to review our experience in terms of type of foreign body, its demographic, and its management and followup.

METHODOLOGY
Retrospective analysis of 70 patients came to Mata Gujri Medical College, Kishanganj, Bihar, between January 2005 to January 2016 between 5-16 yrs. of age. All case below 5 yrs. and above 16 yrs. were excluded from the study. Flexible Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is also not very convenient in the patients below 5 yrs. of age. Most of the patients were presented in casualty within 24 hrs. of ingestion of FB while others presented themselves at surgery, ENT and Paediatric OPD. Data were collected from record room and analysed in terms of demography, symptoms, investigations, management and outcomes. Details of history, physical examinations and investigations was analysed with the documents available at record room. Proper consent form was checked for both diagnostic as well as therapeutic removal of the foreign body.
Patients presented with wide range of symptoms and sign depending upon age, nature of FB, anatomical site of lodgement and length of time since ingestion.\textsuperscript{1} Vomiting, dysphagia, drooling of saliva, and respiratory symptoms were the most common presenting symptoms.\textsuperscript{2,3} In our study, drooling of saliva was the most common presenting complaints. Type of FB ingested differs among countries according to feeding habits, culture, festivals, socioeconomic status, etc.\textsuperscript{5,6} Several studies show that the coin is the most common type of FB found to be ingested.\textsuperscript{6,8} In our study, coin is the most common type of FB found in upper GI tract followed by fish bone and metal piece.\textsuperscript{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10} Food bolus was found to be more common in previous stricture of oesophagus\textsuperscript{6} due to various causes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drooling of Saliva</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Symptoms</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odynophagia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Loss</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Presenting Complaint of FB Ingestions**

Lin et al\textsuperscript{9} conclude that diagnosis of FB ingestion based on three important elements: eye witness, x-ray and upper GI endoscopy. Several study showed that use of radiograph\textsuperscript{10,10,11} is useful tool in the diagnosis of FB ingestions but radiolucent substance can be missed by this method. Luk et al\textsuperscript{12} showed that CT scan is 96% specific in diagnosis of FB even in negative upper GI endoscopy cases. In general, x-ray is baseline investigation for FB ingestions.

Most children who have swallowed a foreign body do not require specialised care. For the large majority, providing comfort care while transporting to an emergency department is all that is required. Patients with drooling may require suction and proper attention. Children benefit by being allowed to remain with their parents and being allowed to assume a position of comfort. Although, a theoretical risk of spontaneously vomiting and then aspiration of a foreign body exists, this is unusual. Children should not routinely be intubated to protect their airways. Similarly, do not attempt to dislodge a foreign body from a spontaneously breathing patient by giving abdominal thrusts or syrup of ipecac. The usual goal of upper gastrointestinal FB management is to localise the position of the ingested foreign body. Patients with drooling, marked emesis or altered mental status (likely from excess vagal stimulation) may require supportive measures to protect the airway.

Most patients should undergo radiographic imaging like X-ray, USG, CT scan, etc. Metal detectors maybe used to locate metallic foreign bodies. Even radio-opaque foreign bodies maybe difficult to localise. Referral for endoscopy should be considered.

Remember that children with no symptoms may have impacted foreign bodies and that children with foreign body sensation or pain may not. Radiographs of about 15% of children presenting to the hospital after witnessed coin ingestions do not show a coin. Although, some will have vomited or otherwise removed the ingested object before
their evaluation. This suggests that not all children with even witnessed foreign body ingestions have truly ingested something.

So, many methods were used to remove FB from upper GI tract through flexible upper GI scope such as rat tooth forceps, balloon extractor, snare, magnet, etc. The choice of instrument depends upon surgeon’s choice and available instruments. We prefer rat tooth forceps and snare most of the time. FB which can damage upper GI tract should be identified and removed quickly as early as possible. Waltzman et al(12) reported that around 25-30% FB ingested will pass spontaneously without complications. Lin et al(11) and Kamath et al(13) noted that FB should be removed as soon as possible to avoid complications as compared to our study.

Complication related to FB ingestion is uncommon, but maybe life-threatening sometime.(7) Longstanding FB have higher incidence of complications like perforation, obstruction, peritonitis, abscess, fistula formations, etc. Type of FB ingested is related to the outcome of the patients.(14) Most common FB cause complications are food (29%), coin (29%), and batteries.(14) Timely diagnosis and management is necessary to avoid complications.

DISCUSSION
Paediatric foreign body ingestion is a worldwide problem. Impaction of swallowed fish bones is more commonly observed in countries where fish is a major dietary staple including Asian countries. A massive database describing paediatric foreign body injury in European and other countries, the "Susy Safe project," recently published information regarding nearly 17,000 cases in children aged 14 years and younger; about 18% of these involved foreign body ingestion. All children regards of age can ingest FB, but more common in younger patients.(15) Most of the FB can travel its course harmlessly.(4) Most of the patients were below 10 yrs. (51%) as compared with other data.(3,4) A witness history of FB ingestion is extremely important for quick diagnosis.(5) Louie et al(5) published a study of 225 case studies with majority of their patients having witnessed history of FB ingestions. In our study, a witnessed history of FB ingestion was found in 74.2% of the cases. Upper oesophagus is narrow part, so most of the FB was found in this part.(3) In our study, majority of the FB were found in upper oesophagus (83%) as compared with other study.(3,6) Coin is the most common type of FB found followed by metal piece, food bolus, fish bone, etc.

CONCLUSION
Foreign body ingestion is common problem in children and usually an accidental event. Long retained FB is associated with higher incidence of complications. Flexible upper GI scope is best tool to deal with this problem as it will diagnose as well as treat the problem.
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