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BACKGROUND
Neonatal sepsis takes a big toll of neonatal deaths in developing countries. It is estimated that up to 20% of the neonates develop sepsis and approximately 1% die of sepsis related causes. In India, sepsis is one of the commonest causes of neonatal mortality contributing to 19% of all neonatal deaths.
The present study was undertaken to study aerobic bacterial profile of neonatal septicemia by automated blood culture systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This observational study was conducted in the Microbiology Laboratory of a tertiary care centre over a period of one and a half years [January 2013 to June 2014]. Blood samples of neonates of both sexes were collected and processed. Detailed history recording and clinical examination was conducted on each patient and findings were recorded.

RESULTS
Total 157 blood samples, 99 [63.05%] from male and 58 [36.94%] from female patients were processed. Out of 157 blood samples, 70 i.e. 44.58% were flagged as positive by BacT/ALERT 3D 60 system and 87 [55.41%] were negative. Within the neonatal age group, 52 [74.28%] neonates with positive blood cultures were of the age less than one week. Out of 70 culture positive cases 64 [91.42%] deliveries were conducted in the hospitals, rest 6 [8.57%] were home-based deliveries. Out of 70, 12 [17.14%] neonates were having history of febrile illness in the mother during gestation. And 17 [24.28%] neonates had not attained their gestational maturity. Out of 70 blood culture positive neonates, 44 [62.85%] were having early-onset sepsis. Rest 26 [37.14%] were suffering from late-onset sepsis. All 70 blood cultures were monobacterial. Out of 157 blood samples, 28 [17.67%] were monomicrobial. Least susceptibility of E. coli isolates was observed to Cefepime [18.75%] and Ampicillin [12.50%].

CONCLUSION
Culture positivity was found more in male neonates as compared to females. The male-to-female ratio being 1.69: 1. Highest blood culture positivity, i.e. 74.28% was found in neonates of age less than one week. 17.14% out of 70 babies with positive culture were having history of maternal pyrexia during gestation. 24.28% cases were found to have prolonged rupture of membranes during deliveries. 61.42% cases were preterm deliveries. 60% babies were having low birth weight. 62.85% cases were having early-onset sepsis and 37.14% were of late-onset sepsis.
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Database is 30 per 1000 live births. This database comprising of various tertiary care neonatal units across India found sepsis to be one of the commonest causes of neonatal mortality contributing to 19% of all neonatal deaths.1

 Globally, an estimated 4 million babies die in the first 4 weeks of life (the neonatal period) every year and half of them die in their first 24 hours.2 It accounts for 40% of under 5 mortality. 98% of these deaths occur in developing countries.3

 In India, the Sample Registration System [SRS] estimates of neonatal mortality for the year 2010 of about 25 per 1000 live births in early neonatal period [0 - 7 days] with 28 for rural areas and 15 for urban areas.3

 Considering these grave consequences, there is an urgent need to know whether the baby has sepsis to institute treatment as quickly as possible. The gold standard for
diagnosis of septicaemia is the isolation of bacterial agents from blood culture.\(^1\)

Hence, the present study was undertaken to study aerobic bacterial profile of neonatal septicaemia by automated blood culture systems using BacT/ALERT 3D 60 blood culture system and miniAPI bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing system, both by Biomerieux.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This observational study was conducted in the Microbiology Laboratory of this tertiary care centre over a period of one and a half years [January 2013 to June 2014]. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Clearance Committee.

Blood samples of all patients in the neonatal age group [0 - 28 days of age] of both sexes who got admitted in the ward at this tertiary care centre during the study period with clinical suspicion of septicaemia were collected and processed. Detailed history recording, and clinical examination was conducted on each patient and findings were recorded.

**Sample Collection**

1 - 2 mL of blood sample from each neonate clinically suspected to be having septicaemia was collected using all standard aseptic precautions and inoculated in special paediatric blood culture media bottle- The BacT/Alert PF bottle containing 20 mL broth.\(^6\)\(^7\)

The inoculated bottles were loaded into the BacT/Alert 3D/60 system incubator and incubated for a maximum period of 5 days.\(^8\)\(^9\)

**Processing of Positive Blood Culture Bottles**

Blood culture bottles flagged as positive by this instrument were unloaded from the system and processed further according to Manufacturer’s instructions using miniAPI Identification [ID] and Antibiotic susceptibility [ATB] strips on miniAPI system. The strips that were used in this study were as follows-

- ID strips- rapid ID 32 E, ID 32 GN, ID 32 STAPH and rapid ID 32 STREP
- ATB strips- rapid ATB E 4, ATB PSE 5, ATB STAPH 5, ATB STREP 5 and ATB ENTEROC 5.

**RESULTS**

Total 157 blood samples, 99 [63.05\%] from male and 58 [36.94\%] from female patients were processed.

**Blood Culture Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result by BacT/Alert</th>
<th>No. of Samples</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>44.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>55.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table/ Figure No. 1. Blood Culture Report by BacT/Alert 3D 60 Automated System (n= 157)*

Out of 157 blood samples, 70 i.e. 44.58\% were flagged as positive by BacT/Alert 3D 60 system and 87 [55.41\%] were negative (Table/ Figure No. 1).

**Sex-Wise distribution of Culture Positive Cases**

In sex-wise distribution of culture positive cases positivity was found more in male patients, which was 44 [62.85\%] out of 70. Female patients comprised of 26 [37.14\%] of the positive samples. The male-to-female ratio observed was 1.69:1 (Table/ Figure No. 2).

**Age Wise distribution of Culture Positive Cases**

Within the neonatal age group, 52 [74.28\%] neonates with positive blood cultures were of the age less than one week. Neonates between the age one week to two weeks comprised of 14 [20\%] of the 70 positive samples. 4 [5.71\%] neonates were more than two weeks old (Table/ Figure No. 3).

**Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to Place and Mode of Delivery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Delivery</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Home Based</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NVD</td>
<td>LSCS</td>
<td>Assisted Deliveries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>67.14</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table/ Figure No. 4. Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to Place and Mode of Delivery (N= 100\%)*

Out of 70 culture positive cases 64 [91.42\%] deliveries were conducted in the hospitals, rest 6 [8.57\%] were home-based deliveries. Out of 64 hospital-based deliveries 47 [67.14\%] were Normal Vaginal Deliveries [NVD], Lower Segment Caesarean Section [LSCS] was done in 13 [18.57\%] cases and 4 [5.71\%] were assisted deliveries requiring instrumentation (Table/ Figure No. 4).
Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to associated Maternal Risk Factors
Out of 70, 12 [17.14%] neonates were having history of febrile illness in the mother during gestation and 17 [24.28%] neonates were found to have history of Prolonged Rupture of Membranes [PROM] during delivery.

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to the Gestational Age
Prematurity is one of the most important predisposing factors for neonatal septicemia. In this study, those neonates who were confirmed culture positive showed distribution consistent with this. 43 [61.42%] of the 70 culture positive cases have not attained their gestational maturity. However, as gestational age is not the sole determining phenomenon for this condition, neonatal septicemia was evident in 27 [38.57%] patients who had completed their term before birth (Table/Figure No. 5).
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**Table/Figure No. 5. Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to Gestational Age at the Time of Birth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birth Weight of the Newborn</th>
<th>Number of Culture Positive Cases (n= 70)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1500 gm</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501-2500 gm</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2500 gm</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 70 blood culture positive neonates, 28 [40%] were having birth weight more than 2500 gm. 42 [60%] neonates were having birth weight less than 2500 gm. Out of these 42, 35 [50%] neonates were having birth weight of 1500 - 2500 gm, 7 [10%] babies were having birth weight less than 1500 gm (Table/Figure No. 6).

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to the Onset of Sepsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Sepsis</th>
<th>No. of Cases (n= 70)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early-onset sepsis [EOS]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>62.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late-onset sepsis [LOS]</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 70 blood culture positive neonates, 44 [62.85%] were having early-onset sepsis. Rest 26 [37.14%] were suffering from late-onset sepsis (Table/Figure No. 7).

Bacteriology
Distribution of culture positive cases according to Gram reaction of the isolate. All 70 blood cultures were monobacterial. Out of 70 isolates, 35 [50%] isolates were Gram-positive cocci and 35 [50%] isolates were Gram-negative bacilli. Hence, Gram-positive and negative organisms contributed in equal proportion to the microbiological aetiology of neonatal septicaemia.

**Organism Wise distribution of Culture Positive Cases**
Of the total 70 isolates E. coli was the most common, i.e. 16 [22.85%] followed by Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus [CoNS] isolated in 15 [21.42%] followed by Staphylococcus aureus isolated in 14 [20%], Klebsiella pneumonia 10 [14.28%], Pseudomonas aeruginosa 6 [8.57%], Enterococcus faecalis 3 [4.28%] and Streptococcus pyogenes 2 [2.85%]. While Citrobacter koseri, Enterobacter cloacae, Burkholderia cepacia and Enterococcus faecium were isolated from 1 [1.42%] sample each (Table/Figure No. 8).

**Bacterial isolates- cases in %**
- **E.coli**: 22.85%
- **CoNS**: 21.42%
- **Staphylococcus aureus**: 20%
- **Klebsiella pneumoniae**: 14.28%
- **Pseudomonas aeruginosa**: 8.57%
- **Enterococcus faecalis**: 4.28%

**Table/Figure No. 8. Organism Wise distribution of Isolates from Positive Blood Samples**

Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns
ATB strip used for Staphylococci contained 16 antibiotics. Among Coagulase negative staphyloccoci [CoNS], maximum susceptibility was found to the Quinupristin-Dalfopristin [100%] and Vancomycin [100%] followed by Minocycline [93.33%] and Levofloxacin [86.66%]. Least susceptibility by CoNS was shown to Erythromycin [40%] and to Penicillin [13.33%].

Staphylococcus aureus showed maximum susceptibility to the Quinupristin-Dalfopristin [100%] and Vancomycin [100%] followed by Nitrofurantoin [92.85%] and Tetracycline [85.71%]. Cotrimoxazole and Penicillin were the drugs to which Staphylococcus aureus isolates were least susceptible, 42.85% and 21.42%, respectively.

ATB strips used for Enterobacteriaceae contained 30 different antibiotics.

E. coli isolates were most susceptible to Piperacillin + Tazobactam [93.75%] and Nitrofurantoin [93.75%] followed by Ticarcillin + Clavulanic acid [87.5%]. Least susceptibility of E. coli isolates was observed to Cefepime [18.75%] and Ampicillin [12.50%].
Among Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates, maximum susceptibility was shown to Piperacillin + Tazobactam [90%] and Piperacillin [80%] followed by Ciprofloxacin [70%] and Ceftriaxone [70%]. Least susceptibility was shown to Ampicillin [20%], cefotaxin [20%] and Cefazolin [10%].

Citrobacter koseri was observed to be susceptible to all antibiotics except Ampicillin, Cefepime, Ticarcillin, Amikacin, Chloramphenicol, Cephalothin, Cotrimoxazole, Cefazolin, Cefoxitin, Ofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Fosfomycin, Cefazidime and Nitrofurantoin.

Enterobacter cloacae was observed to be susceptible to all antibiotics except Ampicillin, Cefepime, Ticarcillin, Chloramphenicol, Cephalothin, Cotrimoxazole, Cefazolin, Cefoxitin, Cefuroxime, Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin and Cefazidime.

ATB strips used for Pseudomonas contained 15 different antibiotics. Pseudomonas aeruginosa was most susceptible to Imipenem [100%], Meropenem [100%] and Piperacillin + Tazobactam [83.33%] followed by Amikacin [66.66%] and Gentamicin [66.66%]. These isolates were least susceptible to Cefepime [33.33%] and Ticarcillin [16.66%].

The single Burkholderia cepacia isolate was sensitive to Imipenem, Meropenem, Piperacillin + Tazobactam, Amikacin, Gentamicin, Tobramycin, Ciprofloxacin, Piperacillin, Ampicillin + Sulbactam and Ticarcillin + Clavulanic acid. But it was found resistant to Ticarcillin, Cefepime, Cefazidime, Cotrimoxazole and Colistin.

The ATB strips for Streptococcus pyogenes contained 10 antibiotics. Both Streptococcus pyogenes isolates were susceptible to Cefotaxime, Quinupristin-Dalfopristin, Clindamycin, Vancomycin and both were resistant to Penicillin.

The strips used for Enterococcus species contained 14 antibiotics. All Enterococcus faecalis isolates were susceptible to Streptomycin, Vancomycin, Teicoplanin and Quinupristin-Dalfopristin. All E. faecalis were resistant to Penicillin. Enterococcus faecium isolate was susceptible to all antibiotics except Penicillin and Nitrofurantoin.

Survival Rate among the Culture Positive Cases
Among the 70 neonates who were diagnosed to be having septicaemia by positive blood cultures, 58 [82.85%] improved and were discharged from the wards. 12 [17.14%] neonates succumbed to their illness.

DISCUSSION
Blood Culture Positivity
Ghanshyam et al [2002] in their study observed 42.00% positivity in blood cultures.19 Ananthakrishnan et al [2009] in their study concluded that 40.6% samples were positive in blood culture. According to Gandhi S et al [2013], out of 238 samples studied blood culture was positive in 76 cases (32%).1 Chelliah A et al [2014] mentioned that there were 182 clinically suspected cases of neonatal sepsis. A culture positivity rate of 110 (60.4%) was observed.22 Basu R et al [2014] observed blood culture positivity in 119 (39.66%) among 300 subjects of neonatal age group.13 Roy I et al [2002] observed growth of bacteria in 346 (47.5%) blood samples out of 728.14 Mahapatra A et al [2002] observed that out of the 120 blood cultures, 48 (40%) were bacteriologically positive.15

It shows that the culture positivity for aerobic organisms in neonates varies from 20% to 60%. Only aerobic bacteria were studied in the present study.

Blood culture positivity in this study was 44.58% which is comparable with study by Ghanshyam et al, Roy et al and Mahapatra et al.10,14,15

Sex Wise distribution of Culture Positive Cases
In the study by Jothy P et al, among the culture positive cases there were 86 (65.5%) male and 45 (34.5%) female neonates with male-to-female ratio of 1.9:1.16 Haseeb M et al [2014] described that analysis with respect to sex of the baby showed that 65 cases (61.9%) were males and 40 cases (38.09%) were females with a male: female ratio of 1.62:1.17 Gheibi et al [2008] observed the male-to-female ratio as 1.67:1 in a study of 227 cases.18

Our observation is similar to that of Khatua et al and Gheibi et al.19,18 Khatua et al postulated that the factors regulating the synthesis of gamma globulins are probably situated on the X chromosome. Presence of one X chromosome in the male infant thus confers less immunological protection compared to female counterpart. Singh20 stated that male infants are around 4 times at increased risk to develop sepsis compared to females. Term male infants have an approximately two-fold higher incidence of septicaemia than term females.21

Age Wise distribution of Culture Positive Cases
Within the neonatal age group, 52 (74.28%) neonates with positive blood cultures were of age less than one week. Neonates between the age of one week to two weeks comprised 14 (20%) of the 70 positive samples. 4 (5.71%) neonates were more than two weeks old.

Patel U et al [2014]22 observed in their study that 52.8% of neonates with clinical suspicion of sepsis belonged to age group of less than 1 week. 45.2% babies were of age more than 1 week.

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to Place and Mode of Delivery
In the present study, out of 70 culture positive cases 64 (91.42%) deliveries were conducted in the hospitals, rest 6 (8.57%) were home-based deliveries. Out of 64 hospital-based deliveries, 47 (67.14%) were Normal Vaginal Deliveries [NVD]. Lower Segment Caesarean Section [LSCS] was done in 13 (18.57%) cases and 4 (5.71%) were assisted deliveries requiring instrumentation.

Kuruwilla et al23 observed normal delivery in 48.51% cases. LSCS was performed in 38.06% cases, whereas in instrumentation in 13.43% cases. Ahmed et al24 reported that more than half of the culture positive neonates were delivered at home (60%) and 7 (23%) culture positive babies were delivered by caesarean section. In the study of Knudsen et al,25 the majority of the study population was poor and delivered at home, largely in the hands of untrained birth attendants. Home deliveries are significantly related to birth asphyxia, which was highly prevalent in population and which in turn is associated with an increased risk of serious neonatal infection.
Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to associated Risk Factors

Infection of the mother at the time of birth, particularly genital infection, is the principal pathway of maternal transmission and can play an important role in the development of infection in the neonate. Transplacental haematogenous infection during or shortly before delivery (including the period of separation of the placenta) is possible, although it seems more likely that the infant is infected during passage through the birth canal.

Soman et al [20] found the maternal fever as the predisposing factor of neonatal septicemia in 18.6% cases. Saxena et al [20] found correlation of maternal pyrexia and neonatal septicemia in 17.34% cases. Phillips [30] in their study noted maternal fever as a risk factor in 33% of cases.

In the present study, 17 [24.28%] of cases had history of prolonged rupture of membranes (PROM) for more than 24 hours. Roy et al [14] observed that 28.9% had PROM. Hossain et al [21] have reported that 29.2% of septicemia is contributed by PROM. Our finding is comparable with Roy et al [14] and Hossain et al. [21] Kuruviella et al [23] observed that 12.8% had PROM, which is quite lower than our observation.

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to the Gestational Age

Prematurity is one of the most important predisposing factors for neonatal septicemia. In this study, those neonates who were confirmed culture positive showed distribution consistent with this. 43 [61.42%] of the 70 positive cases have not attained their gestational maturity. However, as gestational age is not the sole determining phenomenon for this condition, neonatal septicemia was evident in 27 (38.57%) neonates who had completed their term before birth.

Tsering DC et al [22] observed that out of 363 clinically suspected cases of septicaemia, 249 (69%) were term and 114 (31%) were preterm babies.

Chelliah A et al [2014] observed culture positivity in pre-terms was 14 (53.8%).

Haseeb M et al [17] mentioned in their study that with respect to maturity, there were 72 preterm babies (68.57%) as compared to 33 full term babies (31.42%).

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to the Birth Weight

Birth weight, just like gestational age, is another important predisposing factor for neonatal septicemia. Newborns with low birth weight are more vulnerable to this condition. Haseeb M et al [17] described in their study that a total of 85 cases (80.95%) had birth weight of less than 2.5 kg, whereas 20 cases (19.04%) had a birth weight of 2.5 kg and above. When further analysed it was found that 3 cases had birth weight less than 1 kg, 23 cases had birth weight of 1 to 1.5 kg, 46 cases had birth weight of 1.5 to 2 kg, 13 cases had birth weight of 2 kg to less than 2.5 kg, whereas 20 cases had birth weight of 2.5 kg and above. Average weight of the babies with sepsis was 1.79 kg.

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to the Onset of Sepsis

Jyothi P et al [16] depicted that early-onset sepsis cases were found to be three times higher than late-onset sepsis. Out of 131 cases, 98 (74.8%) had early-onset sepsis and 33 (25.2%) had late-onset sepsis.

According to the study by Chelliah A et al [12] there were 141 (77.5%) cases of early-onset neonatal sepsis, out of which 86 (60.99%) were culture positive. There were 41 (22.5%) late-onset sepsis cases, out of which 24 (58.5%) were culture positive.

The observations made in the study by Haseeb M et al [17] was that 56 neonates (53.33%) developed sepsis in the first 72 hours of life, i.e. early-onset sepsis, whereas 49 neonates (46.66%) developed sepsis after 72 hours of life i.e. late-onset sepsis.

Gandhi S et al [1] concluded that among the 76 cases of neonatal septicemia EOS was found in 59% cases, whereas the rest 41% cases were of LOS.

In most of the studies except Kuruvilla et al [15] study, EOS cases were more as compared to LOS. Similar to our study, Chugh et al [32] had reported 68.8% cases of EOS and 32.2% cases of LOS. The observation in our study is also comparable with the study by Gandhi S et al [1].

Bacteriology

Distribution of Culture Positive Cases according to Gram Reaction of the Isolate

In our study out of 70 isolates, 35 [50%] isolates were Gram-positive cocci and 35 [50%] isolates were Gram-negative bacilli. Kaushik et al [14] [1998] mentioned isolation of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria equally, i.e. 50% each. In the study by Mahapatra A et al [2002] [15], of the 43 pathogens isolated 38 (88.4%) were gram-negative bacilli and 5 (11.6%) were gram-positive cocci. Agnihotri et al [2004] observed 58.5% Gram-positive and 41.5% Gram-negative organism in their study. Bhattacharjee et al [2008] observed that isolation of Gram-positive bacteria was 73.04% and that of Gram-negative organism was 26.96%.

The observation of our study is comparable to that in the studies by Kaushik et al [14] and Agnihotri et al [25].

Organism Wise distribution of Isolates from Positive Blood Samples

According to the study by Jyothi P et al [16] etiology of the 131 isolates included Gram-negative bacilli (73/131, 55.7%) and Gram-positive cocci (58/131, 44.3%). Klebsiella spp. [30.5%] and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CONS) [27.5%] were the most common Gram-negative and Gram-positive organisms respectively. Roy I et al [16] mentioned that of the bacterial isolates, the most frequent offender was Klebsiella spp. [24.6%] followed by Enterobacter spp. [22.9%], CONS [16.6%], S. aureus [14%], E. coli [14%] and other less frequent isolates. Chelliah A et al [12] concluded that most common pathogen identified was Klebsiella pneumoniae 31(28%) followed by Staphylococcus aureus 30 (27%), Escherichia coli 14 (12.7%), Coagulase negative Staphylococci 12 [10.9%], Pseudomonas aeruginosa 11 (10%), Enterococcus faecalis 8 (7.2%) and Klebsiella oxytoca 4 (3.6%). Gandhi S et al [12] study observations are that E. coli [21.25%] was the most common isolate, Staphylococcus aureus [20%] and Klebsiella pneumoniae [20%] were isolated in equal proportion. The next isolate was CoNS [11.25%].
The observations of the present study correlate well with the study by Gandhi S et al.\(^1\)

**Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns**

Prior to antibiotic era, the mortality from septicaemia was 90%, but it declined to 24% - 58% after antibiotics came into use.\(^{25}\) The varying microbiological pattern of neonatal septicaemia warrants the need for an ongoing review of causative organisms and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern.\(^{14}\) The inability to be certain of infection, coupled with non-specific signs of life threatening illness in neonates have resulted in widespread use of antibiotics, aggravating the problem of antibiotic resistance.\(^{37}\)

**Staphylococci**

Roy et al\(^{14}\) reported that none of the gram-positive isolates were resistant to glycopeptides-vancomycin and teicoplanin. Gheibi et al\(^{18}\) (2008) observed that majority of gram positive isolates showed sensitivity to vancomycin and ciprofloxacin. Vancomycin is still the drug of choice for S. aureus.\(^{38}\)

Arora et al\(^{39}\) noted that amongst the Gram-positive organisms, maximum resistance was seen with ampicillin 74.61% and erythromycin 69.67%. An increased ampicillin resistance of 64% and 87% was also reported by Guha et al\(^{40}\) and Karki et al\(^{41}\) respectively in their studies. Mehdinejad et al\(^{42}\) observed that S. aureus isolates were fully sensitive to vancomycin, amikacin and ciprofloxacin.

Penicillin-resistant S. aureus strains began emerging shortly after the introduction of penicillin in medicine in early 1940s. Today, the percentage of penicillin resistance strains has risen to 75%-90% with the highest rates being found among hospital strains. Similar percentages have been observed for penicillin resistant strains of S. epidermidis species group. Most penicillin resistant staphylococcal strains produce β-lactamase, which hydrolyses the β-lactam ring of antibiotic.\(^{43}\)

**Enterobacteriaceae**

Ghanshyam et al\(^{10}\) reported that 50% of the Klebsiella and E. coli isolates were sensitive to cefotaxime. A study by Movahedian et al\(^{44}\) revealed a very high degree of resistance in Gram-negative organisms, not only to commonly used antibiotics but also predominantly to broad-spectrum cephalosporins. Gheibi et al\(^{19}\) reported high resistance to cefotaxime (67.5%), ceftiraxone (65.3%) and cefazidime (64.3%) among Gram-negative organisms.

Ghanshyam et al\(^{10}\) reported that 50% of the Klebsiella and E. coli isolates were sensitive to amikacin. Mehdinejad et al\(^{45}\) observed that the Gram-negative bacilli showed low resistance to amikacin (28.4%). Gheibi et al\(^{19}\) reported high sensitivity to amikacin (76.5%).

Jyothi P et al\(^{10}\) observed that best overall sensitivity among Gram-negative isolates was to imipenem (93%) followed by amikacin (52%) and netilmicin (41%).

Tsering DC et al\(^{42}\) described that most of the Gram-negative bacteria were sensitive to Ciprofloxacin except one isolate of Klebsiella. Co-trimoxazole was 100% sensitive in E. coli and Salmonella isolates, but resistant in Klebsiella. All the Citrobacter isolates were sensitive to Amikacin, Ciprofloxacin and Ofloxacain. Amikacin was effective for all the isolates of Klebsiella species, Citrobacter species and E. coli.

In the study by Roy I et al,\(^{14}\) most of the Gram-negative isolates of Enterobacteriaceae family were resistant to ampicillin and amoxicillin. Resistance to cefotaxime ranged from 63.7% to 65.3% and that to ceftazidime ranged from 40.8% to 53.7% of isolates. Resistance to amikacin was less frequent than resistance to gentamicin. Enterobacteria were less frequently resistant to ciprofloxacin.

Strict infection control in neonatal units, hand washing combined with judicious policy for antibiotic therapy are the main solution to this problem. It will be important, however, to continue surveillance of neonatal sepsis in order to follow closely changes in trends and risk factors, to obtain information for empiric antibiotic therapy and to react rapidly in case of major changes in susceptibility patterns and occurrence of outbreaks.\(^{44}\)

The antimicrobial sensitivity pattern differs in different studies as well as at different times in the same hospital.\(^{45}\) This is because of emergence of resistant strains as a result of indiscriminate use of antibiotics. The high resistance rates found may be associated with the frequent use of antimicrobial drugs for both prophylactic and therapeutic treatment of hospitalised newborns.\(^{46}\) In view of the above facts, the strategy of antibiotic usage in neonates should be reviewed periodically even in the same hospital.

**Limitations of the Study**

This is an observational study. As the study was restricted to neonatal age group only, sample volume for blood culture was a crucial issue. Neonates admitted in the hospital were subjected to various investigations under biochemistry, microbiology and pathology (haematology) laboratories. Sample collection generally is not that easy in neonates, mostly due to small size of blood vessels and most neonates being investigated are underweight. Multiple prickings is not advisable; hence, blood collected in single prick was distributed for three laboratories as biochemistry, microbiology and pathology (haematology). CoNS is part of normal skin flora and it may several times be isolated from blood, few times as a contaminant and as a pathogen other times. Pathogenicity of CoNS in blood should be confirmed by repeat blood culture. Retrieving a second sample for blood culture was difficult due to above reason.

The antibiotic strips used on the miniAPI system to test antibiotic susceptibility were incorporated with multiple antibiotics and all of those antibiotics were not according to CLSI guidelines of respective years of manufacturing or distribution of those strips in the market. We reported the antibiotic susceptibility to the clinicians as per the CLSI guidelines of that time, but as the antibiotics not recommended by CLSI were already tested with other recommended antibiotics the susceptibility data was recorded for analysis purpose. Same is the reason why some antibiotic combinations, which are not recommended by CLSI are mentioned in our study.

**CONCLUSION**

Out of total 157 samples processed, 70 blood cultures were found to be positive. Total blood culture positivity rate was 44.58%.

Culture positivity was found more in male neonates as compared to females, the male-to-female ratio being 1.69: 1.
Highest blood culture positivity, i.e. 74.28% was found in neonates of age less than one week.

91.42% of babies having positive blood culture were delivered in hospital setups. Out of these, 67.14% were normal vaginal births and 8.57% babies were home-based deliveries.

17.14% out of 70 babies with positive culture were having history of maternal pyrexia during gestation. 24.28% cases were having low birth weight. 62.85% cases were having early-onset sepsis and 37.14% were of late-onset sepsis. Most common isolate in general was Gram-positive organism was CoNS and in Gram-negative bacteria E. coli was obtained predominantly.

Depending on the outcome of the culture positive cases, 58 [82.85%] cases survived.

REFERENCES

[6] Package_Insert_-93-00223-_BacT/ALERT_PF_259794
[7] Package_Insert_-9300222-_BacT/ALERT_FAN_259793


